



# COVER SHEET

AHA/BJCP Sanctioned Competition Program



The American Homebrewers Association

<http://www.bjcp.org>

<http://www.homebrewersassociation.org>

## How to Read Your Scoresheet

### Left Column:

Judges must give their names and are encouraged to give contact information so that you can respond with praise or constructive criticism to their comments. Please don't expect the judge to remember your beer. Complaints about your score are unlikely to accomplish anything but enmity, but helpful comments that point out objective errors or poor quality of feedback may help the judge to improve in the future.

If you feel that there is a significant problem with the quality of judging you have received, please contact the organizer of the competition and, if the judge has checked a BJCP rank, the BJCP (at the address at the bottom of this sheet). Include copies of the scoresheet and any other relevant information.

The **Judge Qualification** section is fairly self-explanatory. An Apprentice is a judge who has taken but not passed the BJCP exam. A non-BJCP judge has not taken the exam, but may be skilled. Honorary Master is a rank given out by the BJCP for recognition of exceptional service to the BJCP and/or homebrewing community. The other ranks are levels within the BJCP, based on the judge's experience and exam score.

The **Descriptor Definitions** list is used by some judges as a shorthand way to communicate the presence of common beer characteristics. Many, but not all, of these are considered flaws in beer, although some will be a flaw in some styles and desired in others. See the judge's comments on the right side of the sheet for more information.

### Right Column

The **Category** and **Subcategory** designation shows the style against which your beer was judged. Most of the score your beer received represents how well the judges felt your beer represented this style. See below for more about scoring and style.

The **Bottle Inspection** is not used in determining your beer's score, but certain clues to potential problems can be gleaned from a bottle inspection. For example, "Ring around the collar," a line of material that appears on the bottle neck at the liquid surface, is sometimes an indicator of contamination, although it can have other causes. This section can also be used for comments about packaging in violation of some contests guidelines such as the use of distinctive bottles or incorrect bottle size.

Most entries into homebrew competitions are in categories that describe a particular style of beer. These styles are usually derived from a commercial beer, or group of similar beers, or a type of beer that is popular among home brewers. The score that is assigned to your beer is an indication of how closely the judge felt your beer matched the characteristics of that style. The style adherence is separated into four sections: **Aroma**, **Appearance**, **Flavor**, and **Mouthfeel**. The judges are looking for the presence and/or absence of various characteristics in each of these four sections, depending on the style. Your beer was scored accordingly.

BJCP Cover Sheet Copyright © 2009 Beer Judge Certification Program rev. 091023

### Judges and/or Stewards

Check all score sheets for accuracy and make sure the judge's full name is printed on each one. Attach this cover sheet on top of all score sheets before submitting them to the organizer.

#### Entry Number

380

Category # 26 Subcategory (a-f) C

Ordinal Position in Flight: 6 of 6

This beer advanced to a mini-BOS round

#### Final Assigned Score

35.3

At least two judges from the flight in which your beer was entered reached consensus on your final assigned score. It is not necessarily an average of the individual scores.

#### Place Awarded

2

In the final section, **Overall Impression**, the judge conveys how enjoyable the beer was and should attempt to offer suggestions for improvement. It may also be used to summarize the judge's feelings on aspects of the beer that don't fit neatly into one of the other four sections. The difference between a very good beer and a world class beer can often be in subtle or intangible details. Likewise, beers with no easily discernible flaws can be lacking in specialness or "magic." This section is used to give such feedback.

The **Scoring Guide** is your guide to understanding the meaning of your total score on the 50 point scale used on this scoresheet.

**Outstanding (45-50)** – A world class example of the style. A beer with great character and no flaws.

**Excellent (38-44)** – Beers in this range may have no flaws but may be missing the intangibles for a world class beer.

**Very Good (30-37)** – Beers in this range may have a minor flaw (technical or stylistic), or may be lacking in balance or complexity.

**Good (21-29)** – A satisfactory beer that generally fits the style parameters. Scores near the upper end of this range may have only a few minor flaws or be slightly out of style and also may be

lacking in balance or complexity. Scores near the lower end of this range tend to have more flaws and are likely to have stylistic inconsistencies as well.

**Fair (14-20)** – This beer has its share of problems that may include missing the style parameters, off flavors and aromas, balance problems, contamination, or other major flaws. Scores near the lower end of this range exhibit more major flaws.

**Problematic (0-13)** – A beer with a major problem (usually contamination) that overwhelms all other flavors and aromas. Often barely palatable.

In box to the right of the Scoring Guide the judge has reiterated the feedback given in the five scored sections, breaking it down differently. Your beer has been rated on three scales: **Stylistic Accuracy**, **Technical Merit**, and **Intangibles**. The aroma of Cascade hops in a Bohemian Pilsener would be an example of a problem in **Stylistic Accuracy**. This can be thought of as recipe feedback. Excessive sourness would usually indicate a contamination problem which would be classified under **Technical Merit**. This can be thought of as process feedback. Some characteristics don't fall neatly into one category, for example grassy aromas might be from the type of hop used, or from using oxidized hops. Here the judge has tried to make the best guess for these ratings. **Intangibles** rates the same characteristic discussed above in **Overall Impression**. The checkboxes also give the entrant an overview of the beer's evaluation. By filling out the checkboxes, the judge is encouraged to think about the beer as a whole after the words are written and may also help justify the final score.

### The Beer Judge Certification Program

The BJCP is the only body in North America that tests and certifies beer judges. The BJCP is a not-for-profit organization, and BJCP judges do not charge for their services. Contact the BJCP at: [Comp\\_Director@BJCP.org](mailto:Comp_Director@BJCP.org).



# MEAD SCORESHEET



http://www.bjcp.org

AHA/BJCP Sanctioned Competition

380

26/c

beertown.org

Judge Carlson, Peter  
 ID G0530 National  
 Email pcarlson24@att.net  
 Rank Judge

Category # Open Category Mead  
 Subcategory coconut lime mead sage blossom honey semi sweet still sak

Carbonation Level:  Still  Petillant  Sparkling  
 Sweetness:  Dry  Medium  Sweet  
 Strength:  Hydromel  Standard  Sack

Variety of honey (if declared): SAGE

Special Ingredients: COCONUT - LIME

Bottle Inspection:  (Appropriate size, cap, fill level, label removal, etc.)

Comments \_\_\_\_\_

Bouquet/Aroma (as appropriate for style) 8 /10

Comment on honey expression, alcohol, esters, complexity and other aromatics  
GET A FUNNY COCONUT AROMA WHICH MAKES SENSE WHEN COMBINED WITH SAGE BLOSSOM HONEY. DO GET SOME LIME PUSHING ON IT TOO. GET A LITTLE ALCOHOL

Appearance (as appropriate for style) 6 /6

Comment on color, clarity, brilliance, legs, and carbonation  
VERY VERY PINE YELLOW BRILLIANT. SHORT OF LEGS. NO CARBONATION

Flavor (as appropriate for style) 14 /24

Comment on honey, sweetness, acidity, tannin, alcohol, balance, body, carbonation, aftertaste, and any special ingredients or style-specific flavors  
COCONUT-LIME MIXTURE PREDOMINATES GET SOME HONEY. A LITTLE MORE PLUS ALCOHOL - NO CARBONATION. THE SAGE BLOSSOM INFLUENCES THE COCONUT/LIME INSTEAD OF THE OTHER WAY AROUND.

Overall Impression 7 /10

Comment on overall drinking pleasure associated with entry, give suggestions for improvement  
VERY NICE MEAD. THE LIME WANTS A LITTLE STRONG FOR ME. BUT WELL DONE, TRY OTHER BASE HONEY'S!

Total 35 /50

SCORING GUIDE

<b>Outstanding</b>	(45 - 50):	World-class example of style
<b>Excellent</b>	(38 - 44):	Exemplifies style well, requires minor fine-tuning
<b>Very Good</b>	(30 - 37):	Generally within style parameters, some minor flaws
<b>Good</b>	(21 - 29):	Misses the mark on style and/or minor flaws
<b>Fair</b>	(14 - 20):	Off flavors, aromas or major style deficiencies
<b>Problematic</b>	(0 - 13):	Major Off flavors and aromas dominate

Classic Example	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stylistic Accuracy			Not to Style
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Flawless	<input type="checkbox"/>	Technical Merit			Significant Flaws
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Wonderful	<input type="checkbox"/>	Intangibles			Lifeless
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	



# MEAD SCORESHEET



http://www.bjcp.org

AHA/BJCP Sanctioned Competition Program

http://www.beertown.org

Ju Hammond, Travis  
 Ju G0955 National  
 Ju travishammond@hotmail.com

Category: 380 Subcategory: 26/c Entry #

Subcat: Other Mead

Carbon: Open Category Mead  
 Sweetn: coconut lime medd sage  
 Strengt: biosom honey semi-sweet still i sack

Variety of honey (if declared):

Special Ingredients:

### Judge Qualifications and BJCP Rank:

- Apprentice  Recognized  Certified
- National  Master  Grand Master
- Honorary Master  Mead Judge  Cider Judge
- Professional Brewer  Non-BJCP  Rank Pending

### Descriptor Definitions (Mark all that apply):

- Acetic – Vinegary, acetic acid, sharp.
- Acidic – Clean, sour flavor/aroma from low pH. Typically from one of several acids: malic, lactic, gluconic, or citric.
- Alcoholic – The effect of ethanol. Warming. Hot.
- Chemical – Vitamin, nutrient or chemical taste.
- Cloying – Syrupy, overly sweet, unbalanced by acid/tannin.
- Floral – The aroma of flower blossoms or perfume.
- Fruity – Flavor & aroma esters often derived from fruits in a melomel. Banana & pineapple are often off-flavors.
- Metallic – Tinny, coin, copper, iron, or blood-like flavor.
- Moldy – Stale, musty, moldy or corked aromas/flavors.
- Oxidized – Any one or combination of winy/vinous, cardboard, papery, or sherry-like aromas and flavors. Stale.
- Phenolic – Spicy (clove, pepper), smoky, plastic, plastic adhesive strip, and/or medicinal (chlorophenolic).
- Solvent – Aromas and flavors of higher alcohols (fusel alcohols). Similar to acetone or lacquer thinner aromas.
- Sulfury – The aroma of rotten eggs or burning matches.
- Tannic – Drying, astringent puckering mouthfeel, similar to bitterness flavor. Taste of strong unsweetened tea or chewing on a grape skin
- Vegetal – Cooked, canned, or rotten vegetable aroma and flavor (cabbage, onion, celery, asparagus, etc.)
- Waxy – Wax-like, tallow, fatty.
- Yeasty – A bread, sulfury or yeast-like aroma or flavor.

Look up detailed descriptions in the BJCP Vocabulary application:  
<http://www.bjcp.org/cep/vocab/>

Bottle Inspection:  (Appropriate size, cap, fill level, label removal, etc.)

Comments

Bouquet/Aroma (as appropriate for style) 7 /10

Comment on honey expression, alcohol, esters, complexity and other aromatics  
 LIME UP FRONT AT MODERATE LEVEL, COCONUT FOLLOWS AT M.O.L.,  
 SAGE ADDS A LITTLE WOODY / DESERT NOTE, HONEY IS LOW AND  
 SWEET, SLIGHT TARTNESS, ALCOHOL IS RESTRAINED, SEEMS  
 BRIGHT AND REFRESHING

Appearance (as appropriate for style) 6 /6

Comment on color, clarity, brilliance, legs, and carbonation  
 PALE STRAW, BRILLIANT CLARITY, A FEW MINOR LEGS WHEN  
 SWIRLED, NO CARBONATION

Flavor (as appropriate for style) 16 /24

Comment on honey, sweetness, acidity, tannin, alcohol, balance, body, carbonation, aftertaste, and any special ingredients or style-specific flavors  
 INITIAL IMPRESSION OF MODERATE AND DELICATELY  
 BALANCED LIME, COCONUT, AND HONEY. ACIDITY IS  
 MODERATE AND CUTS THE SEMI-SWEET FINISH LEAVING  
 THE BALANCE TOWARD SWEETNESS, ALCOHOL IS MODERATE,  
 MEDIUM-FULL BODY, LIME/COCONUT LINEARLY NICE INTO  
 THE FINISH

Overall Impression 8 /10

Comment on overall drinking pleasure associated with entry, give suggestions for improvement  
 NICE BALANCE OF SPECIAL AND UNIQUE INGREDIENTS  
 WITH THE MEAD / HONEY CHARACTERS. I'M STRUGGLING  
 TO FIND SOMETHING TO IMPROVE. POSSIBLY ENTER  
 AS A STANDARD MEAD, BUT IT IS MORE LIKELY  
 SACK WITH GOOD RESTRAINED ALCOHOL. LIME IS  
 A BIT FUNKY, COULD USE ZEST + FRESHEN IT UP

Total 37 /50

SCORING GUIDE	Outstanding (45 - 50):	World-class example of style
	Excellent (38 - 44):	Exemplifies style well. requires minor fine-tuning
	Very Good (30 - 37):	Generally within style parameters, some minor flaws
	Good (21 - 29):	Misses the mark on style and/or minor flaws
	Fair (14 - 20):	Off flavors, aromas or major style deficiencies
	Problematic (0 - 13):	Major Off flavors and aromas dominate

Classic Example	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stylistic Accuracy				Not to Style
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
		Technical Merit				
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Flawless	<input type="checkbox"/>	Intangibles				Significant Flaws
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Wonderful	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Lifeless	



# MEAD SCORESHEET



http://www.bjcp.org

AHA/BJCP Sanctioned Competition 380

26/c

http://www.beertown.org

Wilderman, Ross  
 Ju G0933 Recognized  
 Ju ross.wilderman@gmail.com  
 Ju

Other Mead  
 Open Category Mead  
 Category: coconut lime mead sage y #   
 Subcate: blossom honey  
semi sweet still sack

Carbonation Level:  Still  Petillant  Sparkling  
 Sweetness:  Dry  Medium  Sweet  
 Strength:  Hydromel  Standard  Sack

Variety of honey (if declared): \_\_\_\_\_

Special Ingredients: \_\_\_\_\_

Bottle Inspection:  (Appropriate size, cap, fill level, label removal, etc.)

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Bouquet/Aroma (as appropriate for style) 7 /10

Comment on honey expression, alcohol, esters, complexity and other aromatics  
coconut prominent in nose. Mild citrus tone.  
Struggling to find sage character. Mild  
alcohol perfume.

Appearance (as appropriate for style) 6 /6

Comment on color, clarity, brilliance, legs, and carbonation  
Light straw color. Brilliantly clear.  
No carbonation. Legs on cup.  
No suspended solids.

Flavor (as appropriate for style) 14 /24

Comment on honey, sweetness, acidity, tannin, alcohol, balance, body, carbonation, aftertaste, and any special ingredients or style-specific flavors  
Strong coconut lime character. Low  
honey sweetness. Very very faint woodiness  
and smokiness from sage. Some warmth  
from alcohol with floral alcohol flavor.

Overall Impression 7 /10

Comment on overall drinking pleasure associated with entry, give suggestions for improvement  
Added flavors mask honey character  
but they do compliment the sage  
flavors that do come through. A very  
nice mead but sage character should  
be higher.

Total 34 /50

SCORING GUIDE	<b>Outstanding</b> (45 - 50):	World-class example of style
	<b>Excellent</b> (38 - 44):	Exemplifies style well, requires minor fine-tuning
	<b>Very Good</b> (30 - 37):	Generally within style parameters, some minor flaws
	<b>Good</b> (21 - 29):	Misses the mark on style and/or minor flaws
	<b>Fair</b> (14 - 20):	Off flavors, aromas or major style deficiencies
<b>Problematic</b> (0 - 13):	Major Off flavors and aromas dominate	

		<b>Stylistic Accuracy</b>			
<b>Classic Example</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Flawless</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Wonderful</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		<b>Technical Merit</b>			
		<b>Intangibles</b>			
		<b>Not to Style</b>			
		<b>Significant Flaws</b>			
		<b>Lifeless</b>			